

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/709,084 | 04/12/2004 | Stephen Waller Melvin | SWM20040412 | 3083 |
| 30968 7590 12/29/2006 ZYTEK COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION ONE MARKET STREET, SPEAR TOWER SUITE 3600 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PEYTON, TAMMARA R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2182 | _ |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MON | NTHS | 12/29/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/709,084 | MELVIN, STEPHEN WALLER | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Tammara R. Peyton | 2182 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Oc | ctober 2006. | | | | | |
| ·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <u></u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| , _ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims . | | | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 32</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| cee the attached detailed enfect action for a list of the defining copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/05. | 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, any new documentation known to qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. sections 102 or 103 with respect to the invention as defined by the independent and dependent claims. That is, any new prior art (including any documentation used to develop the disclosed/claimed subject matter, background art and any products for sale) similar to the claimed invention that could reasonably be used in a 102 or 103 rejection.

Specifically, the examiner is having difficulty locating a logic circuit coupled to first and second signals, said first and second signals coupled to said host controller and to said peripheral, said logic circuit generating an internal signal in response to a direct transition between a first state and a second state of said first and second signals; wherein said host controller is configured to prevent a direct transition from said first state to said second state when changing the state of said first and second signals as necessary to perform input to or output from said peripheral; and wherein said host controller is configured to generate a direct transition from said first state to said second state to generate said internal signal. This request does not require applicant to perform a search. Support for this request is derived from 37 C.F.R. 1.56 and 1. 105, however, it is not intended to interfere with or go beyond that required under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 or 1. 105.

The request may be fulfilled by asking the attorney(s) of record handling prosecution and the inventor(s)/assignee for references qualifying as new prior art. A simple statement that the query has been made and no prior art found is sufficient to fulfill the request. Otherwise, the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR section 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this request. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this request that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this request and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this request are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR section 1.97.

In the event documentation (e.g. newly submitted/previously submitted on an IDS, incorporated by reference or "common knowledge" generally found in the background section but not a publication) is determined to qualify as prior art, a discussion of relevant passages, figs. etc. with respect to the claims must be provided. The examiner is looking for specific references to 102/103 prior art that identify independent and dependent claim limitations. Since applicant is most knowledgeable of the present invention and submitted art a discussion of the reference(s) with respect to the instant claims is essential.

The examiner also requests, in response to this Office action, a showing of support for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and

Application/Control Number: 10/709,084

Art Unit: 2182

line no(s). in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). Additionally, in the event documentation is incorporated by reference (i.e. publications or "common knowledge" (generally found in the background section but not a publication)) and is relied upon for supporting claim limitations, such supporting documentation and limitations must be identified. This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application. Here again this request is derived from 37 C.F.R. 1. 105.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

Art Unit: 2182

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

TAMMARA PEYTON

Tammara Peyton

December 6, 2006